
The Journal of Family Welfare

Volume 59, No.1, June 2013



FAMILY PLANNING ASSOCIATION OF INDIA

THE JOURNAL OF FAMILY WELFARE

Founded in 1954

Published biannually
by the

FAMILY PLANNING ASSOCIATION OF INDIA

HEADQUARTERS

Bajaj Bhavan, Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021 (India)

Telephone : 2202 9080 / 4086 3101

Fax1: 91-22-4086 3201 / 02

E-mail : fpai@fpaindia.org

Website : <http://www.fpaindia.org>

Editor – Mr. Vishwanath M. Koliwad
Managing Editor – Ms. Armin Jamshedji Neogi

ADVISORY BOARD

DR. M.E. KHAN

DR. R.P. SOONAWALA

DR. NINA PURI

DR. K. SRINIVASAN

DR. USHA KRISHNA

Ms. SUJATHA NATARAJAN

The Journal of Family Welfare is devoted to discussing views and providing information on all aspects of sexual and reproductive health including family planning, HIV/AIDS and related issues.

Annual Subscription

India : Rs. 100 post free

Foreign : US \$35.00 including postage

Back issues: Rs. 35 or US \$12.00 per copy

All views / conclusions expressed in the Journal are those of the author/s

THE JOURNAL OF FAMILY WELFARE

Vol. 59, No.1, June 2013

Incongruence and differentials in reporting ideal family size by the couples in India	01
<i>K.C. Das, Kumudini Das, T.K. Roy and P.K. Tripathy</i>	
Fertility transition in India - Evidence from DLHS 2007-08	12
<i>Aalok Ranjan Chaurasia</i>	
Barriers to use of modern reversible contraceptive methods in rural areas: Users' perspective	33
<i>Nanna Cathrine Hollensen and Aparajita Chattopadhyay</i>	
Decision-making process for undergoing tubectomy	43
<i>Geeta Pardeshi and Mohan Doibale</i>	
Men as partners in maternal health: How far is it a reality in India?	52
<i>Prahlad Kumar and S.K. Singh</i>	
Factors influencing awareness of emergency contraception among married Indian women: Finding from NFHS-3	66
<i>Shahina Begum, Lalita Savardekar and Balaiah Donta</i>	
Abortion, health hazard and treatment seeking behaviour in India	72
<i>Sandip Chakraborty, Sujata Ganguly and Faujdar Ram</i>	
Study of laparoscopic sterilization in an industrial health centre	90
<i>Bipin Pandit, Usha Krishna and Rucha Patki</i>	
Susceptibility of morbidity among the young population in India	96
<i>Sandip Chakraborty</i>	
Guidelines for Authors	102

INCONGRUENCE AND DIFFERENTIALS IN REPORTING IDEAL FAMILY SIZE BY THE COUPLES IN INDIA

K. C. DAS, KUMUDINI DAS, T. K. ROY AND P.K. TRIPATHY

INTRODUCTION

Until recently, family planning research, policy and programs, especially in developing countries have usually given little attention to men's reproductive decision making. Men's non-participation in family planning programmes may have implications for their ideal family size and attitudes towards contraceptive use. From the beginning, researchers have been paying attention to the determinants of women's contraceptive use in order to feed into policies concerning fertility regulation and to feed into family planning programme design. Most of the countries of the world, particularly developing countries, still have male dominated cultures. In such situations, one would

expect that the husband's consent may often be a prerequisite for a woman to use family planning method. The International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD, 1994) places the responsibility for family planning equally on men and women instead of solely on women. It also suggests that high priority should be given to the development of new methods for regulation of fertility in men.

One reason why women say they want no more children but fail to protect them from pregnancy is due their husbands' desire to have additional children. This was tested in studies conducted during 1960s and early 1970s, with mixed results.¹ The researchers renewed attention to the issue of spousal agreement about

K.C. Das, Associate Professor, International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS), Govandi Station Road, Deonar, Mumbai - 400 088, Kumudini Das, Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Pillai's College of Arts, Commerce and Science, Sector-16, New Panvel, Navi Mumbai - 410 206, T. K. Roy, Former Director and Emeritus Professor, International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS), Govandi Station Road, Deonar, Mumbai - 400 088 and P. K. Tripathy, Professor, Department of Statistics, Utkal University, Bhubaneswar - 751 004, Odisha.

FERTILITY TRANSITION IN INDIA - EVIDENCE FROM DLHS 2007-08

AALOK RANJAN CHAURASIA

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, fertility transition has received neglected attention in demographic research in India. This is so when reduction in fertility in India still remains a major demographic and development challenge. Although, fertility appears to be declining throughout the country, yet, transition in fertility appears to be slower than expected. The National Population Policy 2000¹ aims at achieving replacement fertility by the year 2010. However, latest estimates based on the Sample Registration System² released by the Government of India suggest that Total Fertility Rate in India still hovered around 2.6 live births per woman of reproductive age in the year 2008. A married woman, in India, still has, on average, more than four live births during her entire reproductive life. India's National Population Policy 2000¹ also calls for promoting, vigorously, the small family norm and to promote

delayed marriage for girls, not earlier than 18 years of age and preferably after 20 years of age so as to achieve stable population by the year 2045 at a level consistent with the requirements of sustainable economic growth, social development, and environmental protection.¹ Given the current trends, however, there is little possibility that India would be able to achieve this goal.

The promotion of small family and delayed marriage of girls reflect the two dimensions of fertility transition - the dimension of birth limitation and the dimension of birth planning. Birth planning - postponement of the first birth and increase in the interval between successive births - is important in the context of population momentum which is the tendency of the population to grow for some time after replacement fertility is achieved.^{3,4,5} Population momentum is primarily the consequence of a young

Aalok Ranjan Chaurasia, Professor, Shyam Institute, Mudian Ka Kuan, Datia, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh - 475 661.

BARRIERS TO USE OF MODERN REVERSIBLE CONTRACEPTIVE METHODS IN RURAL AREAS: USERS' PERSPECTIVE

NANNA CATHRINE HOLLENSEN AND APARAJITA CHATTOPADHYAY

INTRODUCTION

The birth rate in India has been high since many decades. Decreasing infant mortality, decreasing child mortality, and longer expectancy of life has led to an annual population growth of 1.8. In 2011, the population of India counts 1.21 billion people.¹ Use of effective contraception is one of the most important methods in achieving the goal of a Total Fertility Rate (TFR) of 2.1 in India (replacement level of fertility), and achieving population stabilization.²

In order to achieve the goals of its Population Policy different initiatives have been launched by the Indian government. One of these is promoting contraceptive use through free contraception distribution through local health services and monetary

incentives for sterilization.³ Moreover, the Indian government seeks to address the unmet need of contraception in the Indian population. Unmet need refers to "...the need of women and couples who wish to control their fertility but use no method".⁴ Overall, the unmet need for contraception in India is 16.1 percent; hereof 8.3 percent for reversible methods. However, 98 percent of the need for permanent methods is being met, while only one-third of the need for spacing methods is being met.⁵

Knowledge of contraception is nearly universal in India. Among the methods available, female sterilization, an irreversible contraceptive method, is known by almost everybody, whereas other modern reversible contraceptive methods (MRCMs) are less known.¹ Overall, the use of contraception

1 In this paper, modern reversible contraceptive methods refers to modern reversible methods most commonly used in India and globally; i.e. the condom, the pill, and IUD.

Nanna Cathrine Hollensen, Copenhagen University, Copenhagen and Aparajita Chattopadhyay, International Institute for Population Sciences. Govandi Station Road, Deonar, Mumbai - 400 088.

DECISION-MAKING PROCESS FOR UNDERGOING TUBECTOMY

GEETA PARDESHI AND MOHAN DOIBALE

INTRODUCTION

Family planning has been at the very centre of planned development with an emphasis on extension education approach for motivating people for acceptance of the small family norm. The programme has traditionally sought to promote responsible and planned parenthood through voluntary and free choice of family planning methods best suited to individual acceptors.¹

Female sterilization is the most popular method of contraception in India. NFHS 1, 2 and 3 have reported that female sterilization accounted for 67%, 71% and 77% of the total contraceptive use respectively.²⁻⁴ NFHS-3 further reported that female sterilization was the most widely known method among women (97%) and men (95%) and nearly two-thirds (64%) of prospective users said they would prefer female sterilization.⁴

The decision about use of any contraceptive method is to be made voluntarily, hence understanding the decision making process regarding the use of contraception becomes very important.

This study was conducted to understand the factors affecting the decision-making process for the acceptance of tubectomy.

METHODOLOGY

The study was conducted at a Nursing home run by Nanded Waghala Municipal Corporation when 80 to 90 tubectomies are conducted every month. The data regarding the socio-demographic characteristics, parity, and gender of the children was collected from the registers and analyzed to describe the background characteristics of women undergoing tubectomy at the study centre during the period 1st October to 31st December 2010.

Geeta Pardeshi, Associate Professor, Dept. of Preventive and Social Medicine, B.J. Government Medical College, Pune and Mohan Doibale, Prof. and Head, Dept. of Preventive and Social Medicine, Dr. Shankarrao Chavan Government Medical College, Nanded.

MEN AS PARTNERS IN MATERNAL HEALTH: HOW FAR IS IT A REALITY IN INDIA?

PRAHLAD KUMAR AND S. K. SINGH

INTRODUCTION

Over the years, there has been a growing consensus among policy makers, programme personnel, researchers and health practitioners across the globe that male involvement has been a key facilitating factor to women's reproductive health. Milestones in the process of developing these consensus have been the International Conference on Population and Development at Cairo in 1994¹ and the Fourth World Conference on Women in Beijing in 1995, which emphasized that men's attitude and skills and ways of reaching them influence not only their own but also women's reproductive health. The UN Millennium Declaration also outlined universal access to reproductive health as the key strategy to achieve the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). The key components under Reproductive Health are *adolescent health, prenatal care, safe delivery, postnatal care, breastfeeding practices, full*

immunization etc. Many of these goals are related to pregnant women, and cannot be achieved without the involvement of men in maternal health.

Evidence shows that men can prevent unintended pregnancies, reduce unmet need for family planning, foster safe motherhood and practice responsible fatherhood.² It not only implies contraceptive acceptance but also refers to the need to change men's attitude and behavior towards women's health, make them more supportive of women using health care services and share child bearing activities.³ Participation of men in reproductive health leads to better understanding between husband and wife.⁴ It reduces not only the unwanted pregnancy but also reduces maternal and child mortality in connection with pregnancy and labour by being prepared in obstetric emergencies. Further, the role of men in reproductive health is also

Prahlad Kumar, Research scholar and S.K. Singh, Professor, International Institute for Population Sciences, Deonar, Mumbai - 400 088.

FACTORS INFLUENCING AWARENESS OF EMERGENCY CONTRACEPTION AMONG MARRIED INDIAN WOMEN: FINDING FROM NFHS-3

SHAHINA BEGUM, LALITA SAVARDEKAR AND BALAIAH DONTA

INTRODUCTION

The unmet need of contraception as evident by the estimates of 41 percent unintended pregnancies out of the 208 million pregnancies which occurred in 2008¹ and on an average 22 million unsafe abortions take place out of which 47,000 women die as a consequence of unsafe abortion worldwide in 2008². In India, abortion is responsible for 8 percent of maternal deaths³ which reflected the high reproductive health burden. In India, 13 percent of married women have an unmet need for family planning⁴.

Emergency contraception has been introduced in India since a decade with an objective to reduce abortion and abortion related morbidity and mortality. Emergency contraception (EC) is a last resort to prevent unintended pregnancies which can be used within 72 hours after unprotected intercourse to prevent an unwanted

pregnancy. Unprotected intercourse includes condom failure, sexual assaults, failed withdrawal method, two or more consecutive missed oral contraceptive pills, or no use of contraception. In India, approved emergency contraception methods include high doses of progestogen only pill containing levonorgestrol (LNG), high doses of combined oral contraceptive containing ethylestradiol and levonorgestrol (Yuzpe regimen) and copper releasing intrauterine devices (IUD) such as CuT 380A⁵.

Easy accessibility to emergency contraceptive pill (ECP) has been ensured by making it available as an over-the-counter drug and free of cost in majority of government hospitals⁶, yet EC remains relatively unknown and underused in India. According to the National Family Health Survey (NFHS-3) it was estimated that 11.9 percent of currently married

Shahina Begum, Scientist C, Department of Biostatistics, Lalita Savardekar, Scientist D, Department of Clinical Research and Balaiah Donta, Scientist F, Department of Biostatistics, National Institute for Research in Reproductive Health, J M Street, Parel, Mumbai - 400 012.

ABORTION, HEALTH HAZARD AND TREATMENT SEEKING BEHAVIOUR IN INDIA

SANDIP CHAKRABORTY, SUJATA GANGULY AND FAUJDAR RAM

INTRODUCTION

Reproductive health problems are the leading cause of women's ill health and mortality worldwide. When both women and men are taken into account, reproductive health conditions are the second-highest cause of ill health globally, after communicable diseases.¹ Recent studies on maternal mortality and morbidity have shown that between 18 to 25 percent of all maternal deaths are associated with abortion. The term "abortion" defined medically is the termination of pregnancy after implantation of the blastocyst in the endometrium but before the fetus has attained viability, that is, before it has become capable of surviving, with appropriate life support (incubator etc). Spontaneous abortion is the result of some pathological complications in women. Spontaneous abortions in medical literature are also referred as "nature's

method of birth control" as they often eliminate foetal or placental abnormalities. Induced abortion on the other hand is initiated voluntarily with the intention to terminate a pregnancy.

Despite increased use of contraception, the need for abortion continues. Prevention of unwanted pregnancy has been the top-priority concern with all health planners; still a large number of abortions are performed worldwide. Of the 210 million pregnancies that occur each year in the world, about 46 million i.e. 22 percent are induced abortions.² Worldwide, nearly 4 of every 10 pregnancies are unplanned and about two terminated before its completion. In the developed countries, of the 28 million pregnancies occurring every year 36 percent end in abortion. In the developing countries, out of 182 million pregnancies occurring every year about 36 percent are unplanned and 20 percent end in abortion.³

Sandip Chakraborty, Assistant Professor, Department of Statistics, MBB College, Agartala, Tripura - 799 004, Sujata Ganguly, PhD Scholar and Faujdar Ram, Director & Senior Professor, International Institute for Population Sciences, Mumbai - 400 088.

STUDY OF LAPAROSCOPIC STERILIZATION IN AN INDUSTRIAL HEALTH CENTRE

**BIPIN PANDIT, USHA KRISHNA
AND RUCHA PATKI**

INTRODUCTION

Female sterilization has been by far the most acceptable and popular contraceptive method of choice since decades in India. Its proportion has been steadfast at 20 percent of all modern contraceptive methods. In this, laparoscopic sterilization is a safe and effective method of contraception with minimal complication. It is essential for a contraceptive method to be safe as it is used by young health couples.

This paper attempts to understand the acceptability, complications, failure and problems related to laparoscopic sterilization in an industrial health centre in an outpatient setting.

METHODOLOGY

Total 47,505 laparoscopic sterilizations carried out at Larsen & Toubro Industrial

Health Centre which caters to employees and the community for over 35 years. From inception the centre has conducted 3,757 vasectomy operations, 13,660 IUCD insertions and 27,807 1st trimester abortions were done of which 125 opted for medical method of abortion. Larsen & Toubro is a large industrial company where management is keen on medical and welfare of their employees and community. The company has set up many health centres in India. The health centre in Mumbai has been set up about 30 years ago and offers medical facilities with special emphasis on maternal child health care and family planning. Besides, the Medical Officer-in-Charge and Counselor, there are Consultants in all specialties and facilities for sonography, X-ray, Immunization, screening, treatment for HIV as well as all diagnostic services along with child

Bipin Pandit, Consulting Obstetrician & Gynaecologist, Honorary Gynaecologist, Health Centre, Usha Krishna, Consulting Obstetrician & Gynaecologist, Medical Advisor and Rucha Patki, Social Counselor, Health Centre, Mumbai, LARSEN AND TOUBRO.

SUSCEPTIBILITY OF MORBIDITY AMONG THE YOUNG POPULATION IN INDIA

SANDIP CHAKRABORTY

INTRODUCTION

Youth symbolizes action, speed, change and dynamism. Throughout history, young people have always played a major role in shaping the destinies of nation, be it in winning wars, achieving economic progress or in changing social norms.¹ In India, the younger generation (10-24) constitutes 31 percent of the total population.² Adolescence and youth is a period in which many life-long patterns of behaviour are established, including health promotion/disease prevention behaviours and care-seeking patterns. Health during youth provides the foundation for adult health status. Preventable health problems in adolescence can become chronic health conditions in adulthood. The level and patterns of morbidity only gives us an idea about how much an ailment is prevalent to the study population and how it changes

over time. It does not show the extent of the morbidity. It may happen that for some diseases the prevalence rates are quite high while in terms of vulnerability they are not fatal. For example, common cold and cough has higher prevalence than heart diseases but casualty is more in the later. So, it is worthwhile to study not only the levels and patterns of morbidity but also the degree of severity of diseases and the important socio-economic factors associated with it.

This paper attempts to analyse the ailments on degree of severity through a Morbidity Index and attempts have been made to locate the socio-economic predictors of severity of morbidity.

METHODOLOGY

Data collected by the National Sample Survey Organisation (NSSO) under the Department of Statistics, Ministry of Planning

Sandip Chakraborty, Assistant Professor, Department of Statistics, Maharaja Bir Bikram College, Agartala, Tripura - 799 004.

THE JOURNAL OF FAMILY WELFARE

GUIDELINES FOR AUTHORS

COMMUNICATION

Communication with reference to articles should be addressed to the Managing Editor of The Journal of Family Welfare. The Managing Editor (JFW) will correspond with the main author.

PRELIMINARY REQUIREMENTS

The preliminary requirements of an article, before it is processed for review, are the following:

- appropriateness of the title to the goals and scope of the journal
- conforming to the reference style of the journal
- length of up to 6,000 words
- the paper must specify the study period

DECLARATION

Each article should be accompanied with a declaration by all the authors that:

they are the authors of the article in the order in which listed; and the article is original, has not been published, and has not been submitted for publication elsewhere.

If the author has quoted more than 500 words/a table/a figure from a published work, in the article, **a copy of permission obtained from the respective copyright holder needs to be enclosed.**

EDITORIAL STYLE

The article should be prepared by following the JFW Editorial Style.

REVIEW SYSTEM

The criteria used for acceptance of articles are: contemporary relevance, contribution to knowledge, originality, clarity and logic in analysis, methodology of research, implications for intervention, policy and advocacy, appropriateness of references and language. Every article is processed by a masked peer review by one referee.

The review process takes up to three months. When the reports of the two referees do not match, the article is either sent to a third referee or it is reviewed by the Managing Editor (JFW). If the review suggests revision of the article, the authors are given one month time for revision and resubmission. The revised and resubmitted article is sent to the internal referee for checking the revisions.

The paper should be clearly and concisely written. The text, where appropriate should be styled under the usual headings of Introduction, Methodology, Results and Discussion. An original paper should include only sufficient references to indicate the purpose and relevance of investigation. The text, tables and figures should be internally consistent and

non-repetitive. Tables and illustrations numbered in Arabic numerals should be typed on separate sheets of paper and headed by brief adequate captions. For the preparation of graphs and figures, good drawings and original photographs should be submitted; negatives cannot be used. The use of too many tables should be avoided. The results should be described briefly and the discussion confined to significant new findings. A Conclusion of about 100 words should follow each paper.

Footnotes: should be avoided. If essential, it should appear at the bottom of the respective page and must be indicated with an asterisk(*).

COPY-EDITING

Every accepted article is copy-edited. If the author(s) wishes to see the edited copy, he/she/they should make this request at the time of sending the article. Since complying to this request involves an additional four weeks time in the production process, the author's concurrence to copy-editing is assumed unless specified otherwise by the author.

COPYRIGHT

The author owns the copyright of the article until the article is accepted by the Journal for publication. After the acceptance communication, the copyright of the article is owned by the FPA India (Family Planning Association of India). It should not be reproduced elsewhere without the written permission of the Managing Editor, The Journal of Family Welfare.

SCHEDULING

The accepted articles are scheduled for publication in the chronological order in which they are accepted. The publication lag of an accepted article is generally a year. Each author gets a complimentary copy of the journal issue in which his/her article is printed.

REFERENCE STYLE

Citation/Paraphrasing in the text

Each statement may be supported by the author with a logical explanation, the author's opinion, illustration, or citation/paraphrasing of another author's work. Without citing the source, use of other's written work amounts to plagiarism and, thereby, fraud.

Citation in the text briefly identifies the source for the readers, and enables them to locate the details of the source in the References at the end of the paper. The last name of the Author and the year of publication are cited in the text.

REFERENCES

The References, should provide complete information necessary to identify and retrieve each source cited in the article: text, tables or figures. Conversely, each entry in the References must be cited in the text. Both should be identical in spellings and year. Arrange entries in the References in the alphabetical order by the last name of the first author and then by his/her initials. The Reference Style requires the following format:

1. A reference in the article should contain the following details: Author's last name, initials, (all authors should be named), year of publication, name of the article, name of the journal (full name), volume number, issue number in parentheses, and page numbers. There should be no short forms in the references. For example:

Garg S., Sharma N., Sahay R. May 2001. Socio-cultural aspects of menstruation in an urban slum in Delhi, India. *Reproductive Health Matters*, 9(17):53-62.

Ramachandar L. and Pelto P. J. December 2009. Self-help groups in Bellary: Microfinance and women's empowerment. *The Journal of Family Welfare*, 55(2), 1-16.

3. A referenced article published in a book should contain the following details. Author's last name, initials, year of publication, name of the article, In: name of book, initials and last name of editors, Ed./s. in parentheses, title of the book, place of publication, name of the publisher and page numbers of the article. For example:

Bang, R. and A. Bang. 1994. Women's perception of white vaginal discharge: Ethnographic data from rural Maharashtra. In: J. Gittleson et al., (eds.), *Listening to Women Talk about Their Health:*

Issues and Evidence from India. New Delhi: Har-Anand Publications, 79-94.

4. A book should be listed in the following format: Author's last name, initials, year of publication, title of the book (underlined/italicized), place of publication and name of the publisher. For example:

Rudqvist A., Hettne B., Lofving S., Rodger D., Valenzuela P. 2007. *Breeding inequality – Reaping violence: Exploring linkages and causality in Colombia and beyond*. Collegium for Development Studies, Sweden.

6. For an institutional report, write full name of the institution as the author. For example:

UNICEF. 1997. *The state of world children*. New York, Oxford University Press, USA.

7. For a government report, the author is the name of the country/state and the name of the Ministry/ Department, separated by a colon. For example:

Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MOHFW). 2000. *National Population Policy 2000*. New Delhi. Government of India.

8. When ordering more than one reference by the same author, list the earlier publication before the later publication. For example:

Narayana, M.R. 1994. *Selection of PHCs for Evaluation of the Family Welfare Programme in a Developing Country: Alternative Methods and Applications*", *Artha Vigyana*, 34, 79-82.

1995. *Evaluation of Family Welfare Programme in Chitradurga District of Karnataka State: Part II Report (Role of Peoples' Response)* Population Research Centre, Institute of Social and Economic Change, Bangalore.

9. References by the same author with the same publication year are arranged alphabetically by the title, and suffixes a, b, c and so on are added to the year. The same suffixes should be added in the text also. For example:

Jejeebhoy, Shireen. 1998a. *Wife-beating in rural India: A husband's right?* *Economic and Political Weekly*, 33(15):855-62.

1998b. *Association between wife-beating and fetal and infant death: Impressions from a survey in rural India*", *Studies in Family Planning*, 29(3):300-8.

10. When a reference has no author, this entry should be alphabetized by the first letter of the title.

Progress in reproductive health research. 2001. HRP, No. 57 part 2, 1-9.

11. When a reference has no year, state 'no date.' in place of the year.

12. For websites: the author(s) and title should be given in a similar manner as for published papers in journals. In place of the name of the journal the website should be cited as <http://www.evesindia.com>, 2002. [accessed on *give actual date of access*]

A soft copy of the article may be sent by e-mail to publication@fpaindia.org with CC to armin@fpaindia.org and/or CD addressed to The Managing Editor, The Journal of Family Welfare, FPA India, Bajaj Bhavan, Nariman Point, Mumbai - 400 021, India.



STATEMENT OF OWNERSHIP

Statement about the ownership and other particulars about the newspaper-
THE JOURNAL OF FAMILY WELFARE - required to be published in the first issue
every year after the last date of February.

Form IV / See Rule 8

1. Place of Publication : Family Planning Association of India
2. Periodicity of its Publication : Biannual
3. Printer's Name : Dr. (Mrs.) Janaki Desai
Nationality : Indian
Address : Apt.-221-A Building, Twin Towers
Off. L.D. Marg, Prabhadevi
Mumbai - 400 025.
4. Publisher's Name : Same as above
Nationality
Address
5. Editor's Name : Mr. Vishwanath M. Koliwad
Nationality : Indian
Address : C-21, Navashivaneri CHS, Plot No. 39-40,
Sector 9A, Vashi - 400 703, Navi Mumbai
6. Name and address of individuals : Nil
who own the newspaper and
partners or share-holders holding
more than one per cent of the
capital

I, Dr. (Mrs.) Janaki Desai, hereby declare that the particulars given above are true to the
best of my knowledge and belief.

Date : 28.03.2013

Sd/-
Janaki Desai
Signature of the Publisher

FAMILY PLANNING ASSOCIATION OF INDIA

(Registered under the Societies Registration Act, 1860)

AIMS AND OBJECTS

To create awareness, disseminate knowledge and education, provide counselling and services where appropriate on all aspects of reproductive, child and sexual health including family planning and HIV/AIDS, with free and informed choice, gender equality and equity, the empowerment of women, male involvement, child and adolescent health, and their inter-relationships with social development and environmental concerns in order to advance basic human rights, of all men, women and youth, family and community welfare, the achievement of a balance between population, resources and the environment and the attainment of a higher quality of life for all people.

To place its considered views before government and other agencies when appropriate and assist whenever possible in the formulation of the national programme of reproductive and child health including family planning.

To formulate policies, set priorities and devise programmes in pursuance of the above objectives and to undertake or promote studies and activities for information and education, training, services, and research covering the sociological, psycho-social, economic, medical and other relevant aspects of reproductive, child and sexual health including human fertility and its regulation, methods of contraception, infertility, family life education and counselling, stabilisation of population and environmental concerns, with special reference to the needs of adolescents and young people.

To organise conferences, seminars, training courses and other meetings and events whether local, national or international, in the furtherance of the Aims and Objects and allied subjects of the Association.

To establish Branches, Projects and other types of units to expand the coverage and activities of the Association.

To foster, develop contacts and collaborate or network with other organisations engaged in similar types of work in India and abroad.

To maintain its status as a Founding Member Association of the International Planned Parenthood Federation and to be affiliated to other international bodies as may be deemed fit from time to time.

To take any or all appropriate measures to further the Aims and Objects.

OFFICE BEARERS

2012-2014

Mrs. Sujatha Natarajan - President

Vice Presidents

Mr. H. R. Umesh Aradhya

Prof (Mrs) Poornima George

Mr. Vijay Gosai

Mrs. Freny Z. Tarapore

Jt. Hon. Treasurers

Dr (Mrs) Meera Davar

Dr K. Seshagiri Rao

Mr. Vishwanath M. Koliwad – Secretary General

